A0363
1976

A0363

1976

IGSL

# ANNUAL REPORT



1976

INSTITUTE OF GOVERNMENTAL STUDIES LIBRARY
5 1978
OF CALIFORNIA

# SANTA BARBARA COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT




Prepared by: Edwin C. Hamlin
Bill Hillebrand
Florence Jensen
Carolyn Taylor

A 0363
1976

INSTITUTE OF GOVERNMENTAL STUDIES LIBRARY
109 PHILOSOPHY HALL
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CA 94720

COURT HOUSE

# COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

## PROBATION DEPARTMENT

123 E. Anapamu Street
Santa Barbara, California

OFFICE OF
BILL L. JOHNSON
PROBATION OFFICER

Post Office Box 1379
Zip Code 93102
Phone: (805) 966-4164

The Honorable Judges of the Superior Court
The Honorable Judges of the Municipal Court
The Honorable Judges of the Justice Court

Gentlemen:

1976 was a very eventful year in the Santa Barbara County Probation Department. It was a year where many retirements occurred, the most notable of which was the Chief Probation Officer, Mr. Clifford C. Romer, whose picture and career dates are featured in this report. As with all changes of top administration, many of the old policies are being modified and many of the new administration's philosophies are being adopted as policy.

1976 was a year of legislation relating to criminal justice. It saw provisions being proposed that would make major modifications in the adult sentencing laws, and a total revision of the juvenile procedures. By the end of 1976, Senate Bill 42 and Assembly Bill 3121 were passed with the juvenile law being effective on January 1, 1977, and the adult law being effective in July, 1977. It is clear that Probation's future and responsibilities will be greatly altered by these changes, and it is also clear that our services to the Court will continue to be needed.

Major modifications in 1976 were the equalizing and the regionalizing of the juvenile and adult caseloads. The second major area of change was in the expanding of the training role in anticipation of the new law requirements. The third level of achievement for 1976 was the completion of the administrative, juvenile services, and adult services manuals for the Department.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation for the leadership and support of the Superior Courts of this County in my first six months in office. I would further like to commend the Municipal Court Judges, the Board of Supervisors, and the Probation Staff for their support in this year of change.

Respectfully submitted,

Bill L. Johnson

BILL L. JOHNSON
CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

BLJ:rss



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2026 with funding from
State of California and California State Library

https://archive.org/details/igsl_annual-report-santa-barbara-cty-probation-dept_1976

# D E D I C A T I O N



THE 1976 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SANTA BARBARA COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT IS BEING DEDICATED TO

CLIFFORD C. ROMER

ASSISTANT PROBATION OFFICER FROM APRIL, 1946 TO MAY, 1960
CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER FROM MAY, 1960 TO JUNE, 1976

WITH SINCERE APPRECIATION FOR HIS MANY YEARS OF SERVICE

AND TO

SUPERVISING PROBATION OFFICERS

JESSIE H. GRAHAM
MARGARET NORDYKE
EILEEN F. POWELL

AND

SENIOR PROBATION OFFICER

LOUISE G. CAMPBELL

WHOSE MANY YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE DEPARTMENT ENDED IN RETIREMENT DURING THIS PAST YEAR

TABLE OF CONTENTS


| | Page |
|---|---|
| Court Structure and Commissions---------- | 1 |
| Departmental Organization---------- | 3 |
| Probation Department Personnel---------- | 4 |
| Juvenile Services---------- | 5 |
| Adult Services---------- | 8 |
| Institutions---------- | 10 |
| Collateral Services---------- | 11 |
| Statistical Evaluation---------- | 12 |

# COURT STRUCTURE

## SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES:

Honorable Bruce W. Dodds
Honorable Arden T. Jensen (Presiding Judge, Superior Court)
Honorable Royce R. Lewellen (Presiding Judge, Juvenile Court)
Honorable John T. Rickard
Honorable Charles S. Stevens, Jr.
Honorable Robert L. Trapp
Honorable John A. Westwick

## MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGES:

Honorable Terrill F. Cox
Honorable Robert G. Eckhoff
Honorable Arnold Gowans
Honorable S. Jon Gudmunds
Honorable Frank P. Kearney
Honorable Joseph Lodge

## JUSTICE COURT JUDGES:

Honorable Frederick Brown
Honorable James L. Pattillo
Honorable Robert L. Stewart

## JUVENILE COURT REFEREES:

Yale D. Coggan
Richard St. John

## COURT ADMINISTRATOR

Allen Abersman

JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION COMMISSION

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

| | |
|---|---|
| Mrs. William Brace | Santa Barbara |
| Dr. George Hall | Santa Barbara |
| Ms. Melinda Hill | Santa Barbara |
| Mr. John P. Franklin | Santa Barbara |
| Mr. William Luton | Santa Ynez |
| Mr. Luis D. Ogas | Lompoc |
| Mrs. Cary Patterson | Santa Barbara |
| Dr. Eleazar Ruiz | Carpinteria |
| Mrs. Margaret Wainwright | Santa Maria |
| Mrs. Mary Walton | Santa Barbara |
| Reverend L. Leander Wilkes | Santa Barbara |
| Mr. James Woodard, Chairman | Santa Barbara |

Juvenile Justice Commission appointed by:

Superior Court Judges

Delinquency Prevention Commission appointed by:

Board of Supervisors

P R O B A T I O N

D E P A R T M E N T

P E R S O N N E L


SUPERIOR AND JUVENILE COURTS
DELINQUENCY PREVENTION JUVENILE JUSTICE COMMISSION
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER
ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT
ASSISTANT CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER
ASSISTANT CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER
FINANCE OFFICER
CLERICAL
SANTA BARBARA ADULT SUPERVISION ADULT INTAKE
JUVENILE INSTITUTION
LOS PRIETOS BOYS' CAMP
LA MORADA RESIDENCE
JUVENILE HALLS
DAY CARE CENTERS
SANTA MARIA ADULT SUPERVISION ADULT INTAKE
SANTA MARIA JUVENILE INTAKE JUVENILE SUPERVISION
NORTH COUNTY SPECIAL SUPERVISION
SANTA BARBARA JUVENILE INTAKE JUVENILE SUPERVISION
LOMPOC ADULT INTAKE ADULT SUPERVISION
LOMPOC JUVENILE INTAKE JUVENILE SUPERVISION
SOUTH COUNTY SPECIAL SUPERVISION
PSYCHOLOGIST

## PROBATION DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

### CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

Bill L. Johnson

### ASSISTANT CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

Dale J. Harrington
William E. Jaynes

### DIRECTOR OF INSTITUTIONS

Don Farmer

### ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OFFICER

Lessie Sinclair

### SUPERVISING PROBATION OFFICERS

Richard R. Boulette
Robert E. Brisby
Alan M. Crogan
Susan Gionfriddo
Frank Godinez
Edward G. Lewis
James H. Sanderson
Joseph G. Saragosa
George A. Toulouse

### DELINQUENCY PREVENTION OFFICERS

Antony Chapman
Edwin C. Hamlin

### DIRECTOR OF LOS PRIETOS BOYS' CAMP

Noel I. Hancock

### DIRECTOR OF DAY CARE CENTERS

Jack Davis

### DIRECTOR OF LA MORADA

Faith Ryan

### DIRECTOR OF SANTA BARBARA JUVENILE HALL

Lawrence H. Coy

### DIRECTOR OF SANTA MARIA JUVENILE HALL

Michael R. Thompson

### TRAINING OFFICER

Don Wolf

# JUVENILE SERVICES

## JUVENILE PROBATION SERVICES

Minors are referred for probation intervention by law enforcement agencies, parents, and the School Attendance Review Board (SARB). All new referrals are initially handled by intake officers who meet with the minor, his parents, school counselors, and other relevant persons. There is a Juvenile Court in Santa Barbara which handles those clients from Carpinteria to Goleta and another in Santa Maria which presides over those cases from the Santa Ynez and Lompoc Valleys as well as the Santa Maria area itself.

In Santa Barbara, the Juvenile Intake and Investigation Unit is staffed by 1 Supervising Probation Officer, 1 Senior Probation Officer, 3 Intake Officers, 3 Investigation Officers, and 7 clerical staff, who are shared with the adult officers. In addition to the above mentioned duties, Intake Officers - on a rotating basis - represent the Probation Department in Juvenile Court as a "Court Hearing Officer". Also, Investigation Officers are responsible for civil investigations, including custody investigations and stepparent adoptions, as well as record sealings. This Unit provides a Traffic Hearing Officer who commutes to the other two area offices, adjudicating traffic matters for all juveniles.

The Santa Maria and Lompoc offices consolidate the intake and investigation functions with the supervision function. The Santa Maria Juvenile Unit consists of 1 Supervising Probation Officer, 1 Senior Probation Officer, 7 Assistant Probation Officers, and 3 clerical staff. The Lompoc Office has 1 Supervising Probation Officer (who also supervises the adult division), 1 Senior Probation Officer, 3 Assistant Probation Officers, and 4 clerks shared with the adult officers. In addition, Lompoc also has a probation officer functioning as the area coordinator for an OCJP grant aimed towards crisis intervention and diversion from the Juvenile Justice System. The Area Coordinator attempts to identify problems with regard to individual minors and their families, refers them to appropriate public and private youth serving agencies, follows up to ensure on-going service, and maintains record reflecting recidivism rates.

In the Santa Barbara office, when the minor has either been placed on informal or formal probation, the case is then transferred from the Intake and Investigation Unit to the Supervision Unit which consists of 1 Supervising Probation Officer, 1 Senior Probation Officer, and 7 Assistant Probation Officers. The Supervision Officer is responsible for keeping in regular contact with the minor, his parents, school officials, and various community agencies. Also, this officer handles all subsequent intakes and investigations in his caseload. As previously mentioned, the functions of intake, investigation, and supervision are not separated in Santa Maria and Lompoc.

Those children who need maximum intervention are transferred from so-called "line supervision" to Special Supervision which is funded by State Subsidy monies. The Santa Barbara Special Supervision Unit has 1 Supervising Probation Officer, 1 Senior Probation Officer, 5 Assistant Probation Officers, 1 Case Aide, and 3 clerical workers. The North County Special Supervision Unit, housed in Santa Maria, is staffed by 1 Supervising Probation Officer, 1 Senior Probation Officer, 2 Assistant Probation Officers, and 2 clerks. These officers have a caseload limit of 35 persons, roughly one-half that of the juvenile line officer; about 30% of that caseload are juveniles.

Among the many exciting changes initiated in 1976 was the regionalization of supervision caseloads. Regionalization means that an officer's probationers reside in a particular area. By reducing the service area, and thus the number of school personnel and community agencies that must be contacted, the Probation Officer is allowed to develop improved rapport with those schools and agencies in his region, and therefore to provide better service to his client. In Santa Barbara, a "buddy system" was devised whereby two officers are assigned to a school district. In this way, the officers - through teamwork and cooperation - cover each other's caseloads on their days off duty, ensuring service at all times. From the Lompoc office, an officer was assigned to juvenile (and adult) cases in the Santa Ynez Valley, enabling full-time coverage - for the first time - in this growing area.

In an effort to provide more service to our clients, juvenile supervision officers throughout the County have been required to work one evening per week. Some units have utilized this time to run family group counseling sessions. The Lompoc office busses minors from Los Prietos Boys' Camp for participation in family sessions which are conducted by 1 Camp staff member and 1 Probation Officer. Evening family group sessions are also held in Santa Maria where a line supervision officer teams with a Special Supervision Officer to facilitate these highly productive sessions. Santa Barbara Special Supervision, which has always been extensively involved with family counseling, has recently added several evening workshops dealing with intra-familial communications. Santa Barbara line officers, on the other hand, have used this time primarily to visit probationers and their families at home. All of the units agree that the extended hours afford the Probation Officer the unique and invaluable opportunity to observe and interact with the whole family.

Special emphasis this year has been placed on the youthful offender who has been removed from parental custody. For instance, two "Placement Officer" positions were created, one in North County and one in South County. The Placement Officer concentrates on the singular problems of minors out of the home and

can more readily develop a better working relationship with the various juvenile institutions utilized by the County. The Special Supervision Unit in Santa Barbara also has one officer exclusively assigned to work with these children. This officer works on communication problems within the fragmented family with the goal of reuniting the family at the earliest possible date.

The V.I.P. program (Volunteers in Probation) is another subject that has had exciting developments in the past year. All supervision officers report the use of volunteers. Volunteers include those drawn from area colleges who wish to gain probation experience, professionals who donate their time and expertise, and interested people in the community. Responsible for various vital support functions, volunteers provide transportation, perform special services, and do both paper work and case work. South County line probation successfully uses a volunteer as a "Work Project Coordinator". Juveniles are assigned work project hours by the Probation Officer and the Court for various infractions. The Work Project Coordinator develops work sites with many non-profit organizations, assigns the individual to one of these, and monitors the probationer's progress.

Besides involving the total community with probation through the V.I.P. program, the Santa Barbara Juvenile Supervision Unit has endeavored to exacerbate citizen and agency participation by inviting organization representatives to make presentations at weekly staff meetings. This helps to expand the probation officer's list of available services for juveniles and fosters public and agency cooperation with probation.

In short, 1976 has been a time of re-evaluation and redirection for the Juvenile Services Division. It has been a challenging year of growth and change for individual officers and for the Division as a whole.

# ADULT

# SERVICES

## ADULT PROBATION SERVICES

Major reorganization and streamlining of operations followed appointment of our new Chief Probation Officer and the subsequent selection of an Assistant Chief Probation Officer in charge of Adult Services. Regional caseloads are enabling officers to more readily familiarize themselves with individuals and resources in their area of supervision and to reduce travel time. A Clerk Typist III was assigned to handle a banked caseload of 1300 probationers after they no longer need active supervision. These are primarily drunk driving offenders who have completed their Driving Under the Influence of Liquor school (DUIL). Continuing effort is being made to improve communications through weekly staff meetings, updated staff rosters and informative memos. On December 28, 1976, the Santa Maria Adult Unit moved from the Fesler Street office to 312 E. Cook Street in the Courthouse complex. Adult functions include: Intake of all cases referred by the Court, investigation and reports to the Court, supervision of cases placed on probation by the Courts, investigations of applications for parole from the County Jail and reports to the Board of Parole Commissioners, supervision of individuals granted parole, coordination of a work program in which the Court orders probationers to do public work in lieu of fines or as an additional condition of probation, sealing of records, investigation and recommendation to the Courts regarding release of defendants on their own recognizance and bail reduction, maintaining a community resources directory and cooperating with public and private agencies, and use of volunteers and student interns. There is frequent interaction with those agencies which provide mental health, alcoholic and drug, and employment services.

The Santa Maria office in the northeastern part of the County serves two Superior Courts, two Municipal Courts and one Justice Court in the cities and towns of Santa Maria, Orcutt, Guadalupe, New Cuyama, Los Alamos, Sisquoc, and Casmalia. The staff consists of one Supervising Probation Officer, one Senior Probation Officer, eleven Assistant Probation Officers and three and a half stenos. Four of the Probation Officers are assigned to investigating duties and the other eight provide supervision for roughly 1500 probationers.

The Lompoc office in the northwestern area of the County serves one Municipal Court, one Justice Court in the geographic areas of the Lompoc and Santa Ynez Valley, Residential areas include Lompoc, Vandenberg Village, Mission Hills, Vandenberg Air Force Base, Buellton, Solvang, Santa Ynez and Los Olivos.

The office is manned by one Supervising Probation Officer, two Senior Probation Officers, six Assistant Probation Officers, and four clerical workers. One of the Probation Officers resides in the Santa Ynez Valley and handles both juvenile and adult casework there.

Two adult units in the Santa Barbara office serves five Superior Courts, three Municipal Courts and one Justice Court in the communities of Goleta, Santa Barbara, Montecito and Carpinteria. The staff consists of two Supervising Probation Officers, three Senior Probation Officers and seventeen Assistant Probation Officers.

Special Supervision Units in Santa Barbara and Santa Maria are able to offer more intense supervision with average caseloads of thirty-five to each officer. Heavy emphasis is placed on providing close supervision for the narcotic offender. Regular and surprise urinalysis testing is a vital tool in holding the offenders accountable. A Narcotic Anonymous group meets weekly and provides meaningful therapeutic dialogues. Both individual and group counseling is employed. Earlier identification of heavy offenders has provided for assignment of a case to the Special Supervision Unit while the defendant is still in custody. This allows for beginning a treatment plan sooner and provides for a meaningful transition upon release. Good progress has been made in working more closely with law enforcement and other agencies such as CETA and META. Professional and student volunteers are used. The true spirit of Special Supervision which requires a great deal of dedication and enthusiasm prevails and, in spite of the many changes during the year, the work product is excellent.

The North County Special Supervision Unit, based in Santa Maria, serves the Santa Maria, Lompoc, and Santa Ynez area. Serving are one Supervising Probation Officer, one Senior Probation Officer, two Assistant Probation Officers and two clerical workers.

The South County Unit, based in Santa Barbara, serves the Goleta, Santa Barbara, Montecito and Carpinteria areas. One Supervising Probation Officer, one Senior Probation Officer, five Assistant Probation Officers, one Case Aide, and three clerical workers are serving this area.

# INSTITUTIONS

## INSTITUTIONS

Santa Barbara County Probation Department operates seven juvenile institutions within the County, and contracts bed-space as needed with private institutions within and outside the County. The seven institutions consist of three Day Care Treatment Centers, a Boys' Camp, a shelter care/non-secure detention home, and two Juvenile Halls.

The three Day Care Treatment Centers are located in Santa Barbara, Lompoc, and Santa Maria. They are designed to service wards in a highly intensified therapeutic learning program. They are staffed with both Probation and County School employees, and they enjoy the services of a school psychologist, reading specialist, and vocational education specialist. Wards are committed for day time hours only, and reside with their parents or foster parents at night.

Los Prietos Boys' Camp is a correctional/treatment institution providing twenty-four hour supervision in a semi-remote area of the Santa Barbara County. Its program utilizes a behavior modification approach and located on the grounds is a fully staffed high school which provides a broad curriculum. One of the unique programs at Boys' Camp is their vocational education program whereby campers who demonstrate responsible behavior are given a chance to enter training programs at selected job sites like Sambo's Restaurant, Marine Engine Repairs, Inc., etc.

Both Juvenile Halls are twenty-four hour co-ed facilities for 602 offenders. La Morada has the responsibility of operating non-secure detention for 601 offenders. It also operates a ninety-day shelter care program for 601 Wards.

C O L L A T E R A L

S E R V I C E S

## COLLATERAL SERVICES

There are numerous activities which the Santa Barbara County Probation Department is involved in other than supervising adult and juvenile offenders. Some of those activities include youth development, delinquency prevention, psychological services, volunteer coordination, and training. We currently have two full time officers who are assigned to develop and coordinate youth programs with the intention of helping to prevent delinquency. One officer is assigned the southern portion of the County and the other officer is assigned the northern portion. Jointly they represent the Department on over fifteen committees and councils throughout Santa Barbara County. They are directly responsible for youth and development, administering grant funds for crisis resolution homes, the Guadalupe Youth Service Center, as well as acting as liaison for 59 different schools in Santa Barbara County. The two officers are also responsible for proposing and developing programs eligible for revenue sharing and LEAA grants.

An additional service provided by the Probation Department involves the full time employment of a Court Psychologist. The major duty of the psychologist is to provide diagnostic evaluations of adults and juveniles to aid the Court in rendering disposition in complicated cases. This year over 250 such reports were submitted after evaluation and diagnostic testing had been performed. Probation Officers also utilize the Court Psychologist in case planning when needed. This is an extremely valuable tool for both the Judiciary and the Department as a whole.

A third collateral service which the Probation Department is directly responsible for is the administration of juvenile crisis intervention and diversion programs. Currently, there are four area coordinators funded by an OCJP grant. Their major responsibility is the development, implementation, and administration of a referral system from Law Enforcement to the Court providing crisis intervention counseling and diversion when needed. The coordinator integrates and coordinates with public and private servicing agencies to provide alternative treatment in appropriate cases.

Finally, the Probation Department has an ongoing training academy. The training division of the Santa Barbara County Probation Department has two main objectives. The first objective is to provide basic knowledge that a new recruit should have for successful employment. The second major objective of the training division is to provide officers and management personnel with specialized training in such areas as drug counseling, diversion techniques, case management, paper flow, and the effects of new legislation on our work. These goals are sometimes accomplished by the utilization of outside lecturers, films, and field trips when necessary. A training unit is seen as an important part of a well organized and productive Department and will remain a top priority with this administration.

# STATISTICAL EVALUATION

## STATISTICAL EVALUATION

Evaluation of the Department's statistics for 1976 reveals some interesting trends. As Chart No. 1 graphically depicts, referrals for both adult and juvenile offenders have been declining since 1973. However, in 1973 there was an "upsweep" in adult referrals. Chart No. 2 compares data between years 1975 and 1976, and indicates approximately 350 more adult referrals in 1976. The declining referral rate for juveniles continues, and it is predicted it will continue to decline as the effects of Senate Bill 42 and Assembly Bill 3121 continue to convert cases from the Juvenile Justice system. It is interesting to note that an apparent "lag time" effect has taken place with regard to cases supervised. While referrals were declining in 1974 and 1975, caseloads were increasing. This can be explained by the fact that we had a sharp increase in referrals during the period between 1973 and 1974. Taking into account that most adult cases are on probation for over a year, the resulting effect is a swelling in caseloads. Fortunately, 1976 showed some decline in cases supervised, thereby making caseloads more acceptable.

Examining Chart No. 3, we are presented with data on the number of total petitions filed by juvenile units, and the charges alleged in those petitions. The most alarming fact presented is that "theft" of some sort represented over thirty percent of the total petitions filed.

In Chart No. 4, we are graphically given the breakdown of cases supervised according to their ethnic background. These figures are compared with the ethnic breakdown of the general population as a whole. The intent was to determine if minorities constituted a significantly higher proportion of cases than might be expected. Fortunately, there was not a significant skew in the data. The slightly higher minority proportion of cases supervised is most likely due to a socio-economic factor within the County.

Chart No. 5 gives a comparison of adult felony offenses by sex. The most alarming fact here was the significantly higher than norm of females involved in homicide. However, for the most part, violent crimes are still associated with males. In 1976, there was an increase in female drunk-driving arrests in Santa Barbara County.

It is this administration's feelings that our Department is not only responsible to the Courts, but it is also accountable to the taxpayers for the $5,000,000 budget utilized to provide services. As a way of gaining insight into our spending, Chart No. 6 breaks down in approximate figures how the taxpayer's dollar is spent. Rehabilitative services include salaries for both the Probation Officer and Clerical staff, supplies, transportation, etc. Institutional costs are those

for operating and maintaining Probation Department institutions within the County of Santa Barbara. Research and Training are those monies which are directly applied to our ongoing training program. It also includes certain monies set aside for research and development of Probation Department Services. Administration costs include the salaries of administration, management training, clerical staff, etc.




CHART #1
COMPARISON OF CASES REFERRED AND SUPERVISED

## ACTIVITY SUMMARY COMPARISON

| ADULT SERVICES | 1975 | 1976 |
|---|---|---|
| **Adult Investigation** | | |
| Total Referrals | 5,218 | 5,572 |
| Completed Court Reports | 4,677 | 4,638 |
| Parole Investigation | 101 | 129 |
| Summary Grants | 2,470 | 2,749 |
| **Adult Supervision** (Under Supervision December 31, 1976) | | |
| Formal Cases (Superior Court) | 967 | 740 |
| Formal Cases (Municipal Court) | 764 | 788 |
| Formal Cases (Justice Court) | 269 | 80 |
| Summary Cases | 3,541 | 3,240 |
| Diversion Cases | 264 | 72 |
| Courtesy Supervision | 176 | 188 |
| Parole Supervision | 13 | 15 |

| JUVENILE SERVICES | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Juvenile Investigation** | | |
| Total Referrals | 10,319 | 9,933 |
| New Petitions Filed | 673 | 605 |
| Completed Court Reports | 1,099 | 995 |
| Conferences Held | 2,552 | 2,257 |
| Traffic Hearings | 4,743 | 5,009 |
| Special Reports Completed (Adoption, Custody, etc.) | 313 | 258 |
| **Juvenile Supervision** (Under Supervision December 31, 1976) | | |
| Formal Cases | 766 | 604 |
| Informal Cases | 343 | 181 |
| Awaiting Disposition | 211 | 200 |
| Courtesy Supervision | 145 | |
| Total Informal Cases | 690 | 454 |
| **Psychological Services** | | |
| Reports Completed | 256 | 208 |
| **Placements** | | |
| Total Wards Placed | 220 | 272 |

CHART #2

## JUVENILE REFERRALS - 1976 PETITIONS

| REFERRAL OFFENSE | TOTAL |
|---|---|
| Homicide | 2 |
| Manslaughter-Vehicular | 1 |
| Forcible Rape | 1 |
| Robbery | 22 |
| Assault | 76 |
| Burglary | 143 |
| Theft | 71 |
| Motor Vehicle Theft | 49 |
| Forgery/Checks/Credit Cards | 5 |
| Marijuana | 35 |
| All Other Drug Law Violations | 10 |
| Sex Law Violations | 8 |
| Weapons | 6 |
| Drunk Driving | 59 |
| Hit and Run | 6 |
| Drunk | 6 |
| Disturbing the Peace | 13 |
| Disorderly Conduct | 0 |
| Glue Sniffing | 1 |
| Malicious Mischief | 21 |
| Trespassing | 4 |
| Liquor Law Violations | 2 |
| Traffic | 31 |
| Other Specific Offenses | 17 |
| Runaway-Transient | 42 |
| Runaway-Placement | 44 |
| Truancy | 22 |
| Curfew | 0 |
| Fail To Obey Court Order | 174 |
| Incorrigible | 99 |
| TOTAL | 970 |

CHART #3

ETHNIC BREAKDOWN OF WARDS ON PROBATION
DECEMBER 31, 1976-SANTA BARBARA COUNTY




PERCENTAGE BREAKDOWN

| | |
|---|---|
| Caucasian | 62.5% |
| Mexican/American | 25.0% |
| Black | 6.25% |
| Indian | 3.25% |
| Other | 3.00% |

POPULATION BREAKDOWN OF SANTA BARBARA COUNTY BY ETHNIC BACKGROUND *

| | |
|---|---|
| Caucasian | 78.3% |
| Mexican/American | 17.3% |
| Black | 2.3% |
| Indian | 1.1% |
| Other | 1.0% |

* Figures from 1973 Equal Opportunities Employment Manual, Santa Barbara County

CHART #4

## SEX COMPARISON OF COMMITTED FELONY OFFENSES
## SANTA BARBARA COUNTY 1976




CHART #5

## PROBATION DEPARTMENT DOLLAR




CHART #6

U.C. BERKELEY LIBRARIES
C123330268

University of California, Berkeley
Institute of Governmental Studies
Library

Annual report / Santa Barbara
County Probation Department..

INSTITUTE OF GOVERNMENTAL STUDIES LIBRARY
109 PHILOSOPHY HALL
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CA 94720